

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/609,322	06/26/2003	Narayanan Sundararajan	070702005600	070702005600 7346	
7590 02/12/2007 Raj S. Dave		EXAMINER			
Morrison & Foerster LLP Suite 300 1650 Tysons Blvd. McLean, VA 22102			TENTONI, LEO B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1732		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/12/2007	PAP	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	<u></u>			سا		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/609,322	SUNDARARAJAN, NARAYANAI	N		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Leo B. Tentoni	1732			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	. the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 December</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.5 or 1.5	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 1-4,6-15,17,19 and 22-25 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4, 6-15, 17, 19 and 22-25 is/are rejected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath of the o	ected.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be is not in the equired if the drawing(s) is objected to be in the drawing(s) is objected i	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2)  Notice 3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Art Unit: 1732

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-4, 6-15, 17, 19 and 22-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claims 1, 2, 6, 13, 17, 19 and 22-24, the newly-added expression "single structure" is not supported by the originally-filed specification and thus, constitutes new matter. In claim 1, lines 12 and 13, the newlyadded expression "wherein the first dimension and the second dimension are created in different portions of the single structure" is not supported by the originally-filed specification and thus, constitutes new matter. In claim 19, lines 12 and 13, the newly-added expression "wherein the first dimension and the second dimension are created simultaneously in

Art Unit: 1732

different portions of the single structure" is not supported by the originally-filed specification and thus, constitutes new matter.

- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-15, 17, 19 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The originally filed specification fails to state or teach one of ordinary skill in the art what constitutes a single structure (claims 1, 2, 6, 13, 17, 19 and 22-24), how a first dimension and a second dimension (of a single structure) are created in different portions of the single structure (claim 1, lines 12 and 13) and how a first dimension and a second dimension (of a single structure) are created simultaneously in different portions of the single structure (claim 19, lines 12 and 13). Without this disclosure, one of ordinary skill in the art could not practice the claimed invention.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-4, 6-15, 17, 19 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1, 2, 6, 13, 17, 19 and 22-24, the expression "single structure" renders the claims indefinite principally because it is not clear what applicant intends to cover by such a recitation (i.e., it is not clear exactly what constitutes a "single structure").

In claim 1, lines 12 and 13, the expression "wherein the first dimension and the second dimension are created in different portions of the single structure" renders the claim indefinite principally because it is not clear what applicant intends to cover by such a recitation (e.g., how are these dimensions created in different portions of a single structure).

In claim 19, lines 12 and 13, the expression "wherein the first dimension and the second dimension are created simultaneously in different portions of the single structure" renders the claim indefinite principally because it is not clear what applicant intends to cover by such a recitation (e.g., how are these dimensions created simultaneously in different portions of a single structure).

Art Unit: 1732

In claim 17, line 3, the expression "the patterned mask" does not have clear and proper antecedent basis in the claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-4, 6-15, 17, 19 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Beebe et al (U.S. Patent 6,488,872 B1) for the reasons of record.

Beebe et al teaches a process as claimed, of making a structure (which appears to meet the limitation of a "single structure"). Beebe et al teaches forming a structure and selectively promoting polymerization (i.e., lithography), and Beebe et al also teaches introducing a polymerizable fluid, hydrodynamically focusing the polymerizable fluid, selectively promoting polymerization and forming a structure (i.e., hydrodynamic focusing).

### Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-15, 17, 19 and 22-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. With respect to Beebe et al, the descriptions in Beebe et al of how to manufacture structures in micro-devices (e.g., lithography, hydrodynamic focusing) may (if desired) be used individually or in combination (note, for example the claims of Beebe et al, particularly claims 1, 9 and 10).

#### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated

from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (571) 272-1209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina A. Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,322

Art Unit: 1732

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Teo B. Tenton

Leo B. Tentoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

lbt